



Health on the Horizon

Tulsa County

Health is not simply the absence of disease. Health is comprised of our physical, mental, and social well-being,¹ and is influenced by a variety of factors called ‘determinants of health’.² These determinants include a range of personal, social, economic, and environmental factors, such as our genetics, behaviors, and access to health care. The determinants of health are inter-related; change in one area results in changes in other areas. As such, interventions and policies that target more than one determinant will have greater impact on our health.²

Oklahoma has historically ranked poorly in many key health indicators. Most of these indicators relate to conditions that Oklahomans live with every day, such as poverty and limited access to primary care. Such conditions, along with risky health behaviors like smoking and physical inactivity, contribute to the poor health status of Oklahomans.

Recently, Oklahoma has experienced improvement in some key areas, such as infant health (lower rates of pre-term births and infant deaths) and smoking (lower prevalence of adult smokers). The Oklahoma Health Improvement Plan (OHIP) encourages Oklahomans to work together across multiple health care systems to strengthen resources and infrastructure, enabling sustainable improvements in health status.³ Health is on the horizon, and together we will Create a State of Health.



State of the County's Health Report


OKLAHOMA STATE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Inside This Issue

Table of Contents	1	Tobacco Use Prevention	7
County Demographics and Socioeconomic Profile	2	Healthy People 2020 Table	8
Top 10 Leading Causes of Death	2	Health Care Costs Summary	9
Top 10 Leading Causes of Death Table	3	County Health Department Usage	10
Nutrition & Obesity	4	Health Education	11
Physical Activity & Fitness	4	Primary Care Map	11
Diabetes	5	Board of Health Map	12
Teen Births	5	Oklahoma Health Improvement Plan	12
Infant Mortality	6	Reference List	13
Low Birth Weight	6	Turning Point	14
Injury & Violence	7	Contact Information	14

County Demographics and Socioeconomic Profile

Demographics	County
Population, 2012 estimate ⁴	613,816
Population, percent change, 2000 to 2012	8.9% increase
Rank for growth in State	20th
Race and Ethnicity, 2008-2012⁵	
Whites alone	72.5%
Blacks alone	10.4%
Native Americans alone	4.7%
Hispanic or Latino	10.9%
Age, 2008-2012⁵	
Less than 5	7.4%
65 and Over	12.2%
Median age	35.3 years



Socioeconomic Profile	County	State	National
Disability (ages 18 to 64), 2008-2012 ⁵	12.3%	14.3%	10.0%
of disabled (ages 18 to 64) percent employed, 2008-2012 ⁵	41.4%	38.0%	34.7%
Individuals below poverty, 2008-2012 ⁵	15.4%	16.6%	14.9%
Families below poverty, 2008-2012 ⁵	11.5%	12.3%	10.9%
Median household income, 2008-2012 ⁵	\$47,845	\$44,891	\$53,046
Female head of household, 2008-2012 ⁵	13.2%	12.2%	12.9%
Grandparents raising their grandchildren, 2008-2012 ⁵	49.0%	53.4%	39.8%
High school graduates or higher, ages 25+, 2008-2012 ⁵	88.5%	86.2%	85.7%
Bachelor's degree or higher, ages 25+, 2008-2012 ⁵	29.5%	23.2%	28.5%
Housing units, 2008-2012⁵			
Occupied	89.6%	86.5%	87.5%
Vacant	10.4%	13.5%	12.5%
Uninsured (ages 18-64), 2005-2010 ⁶	22.7%	23.9%	18.2%
Unemployment rate, 2012 annual averages ⁷	5.5%	5.2%	8.1%


Top 10 Leading Causes of Death

The top 10 leading causes of death table on the next page displays a broad picture of the causes of death in Tulsa County.⁸ Since many health-related issues are unique to specific ages, this table provides causes of death by age group at a glance. The causes of death that are present across almost every age group have been highlighted.


In Tulsa County, heart disease is still the leading cause of death

for all ages combined. The rate declined 14.7% since the previous 5-year period, from 261.1 deaths per 100,000 population (2003-2007)⁹ to 222.6 deaths per 100,000 population (2008-2012).⁸ In 2010, the most recent year for which hospital discharge data are publicly available, the total charges attributable to heart disease in Tulsa County were \$265.90 million, or \$40,038.60 per discharge.¹⁰

Heart Disease Death Rates by Demographic Groups, Tulsa County, 2008-2012



Age-Adjusted Death Rates by County, OSDH Vital Statistics, 2008-2012⁸



Top 10 Causes of Death by Age Group Tulsa County 2008-2012

RANK	0-4	05-14	15-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	ALL AGES
1	PERINATAL PERIOD 146	UNINTENT. INJURY 28	UNINTENT. INJURY 167	UNINTENT. INJURY 235	UNINTENT. INJURY 236	HEART DISEASE 540	CANCER 1191	HEART DISEASE 5243	HEART DISEASE 6908
2	CONGENITAL ANOMALIES 85	CONGENITAL ANOMALIES 6	HOMICIDE 80	SUICIDE 96	HEART DISEASE 140	CANCER 508	HEART DISEASE 921	CANCER 3793	CANCER 5681
3	UNINTENT. INJURY 28	CANCER 6	SUICIDE 70	HOMICIDE 77	SUICIDE 120	UNINTENT. INJURY 299	BRONCHITIS/ EMPHYSEMA/ ASTHMA 232	BRONCHITIS/ EMPHYSEMA/ ASTHMA 1430	BRONCHITIS/ EMPHYSEMA/ ASTHMA 1764
4	CANCER 11	SUICIDE 5	CANCER 22	HEART DISEASE 42	CANCER 110	SUICIDE 112	UNINTENT. INJURY 204	STROKE 1312	UNINTENT. INJURY 1650
5	HEART DISEASE 7	OTHER CAUSES* 33	HEART DISEASE 12	CANCER 40	HOMICIDE 43	LIVER DISEASE 91	STROKE 159	ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE 782	STROKE 1589
6	HOMICIDE 7		CONGENITAL ANOMALIES 5	DIABETES MELLITUS 13	LIVER DISEASE 34	BRONCHITIS/ EMPHYSEMA/ ASTHMA 84	LIVER DISEASE 125	INFLUENZA/ PNEUMONIA 453	ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE 796
7	OTHER CAUSES* 119		OTHER CAUSES* 73	LIVER DISEASE 10	STROKE 28	STROKE 77	DIABETES MELLITUS 95	UNINTENT. INJURY 453	DIABETES MELLITUS 582
8				STROKE 10	DIABETES MELLITUS 19	VIRAL HEPATITIS 50	SUICIDE 85	DIABETES MELLITUS 408	INFLUENZA/ PNEUMONIA 563
9				THREE CAUSES TIED 6	HIV 19	DIABETES MELLITUS 43	INFLUENZA/ PNEUMONIA 54	NEPHRITIS 328	SUICIDE 555
10					INFLUENZA/ PNEUMONIA 14	HOMICIDE 41	VIRAL HEPATITIS 47	HYPERTENSION 229	NEPHRITIS 371

* Total deaths per age group were determined; cause of death was ordered (by frequency) when 5 or more deaths occurred for a specific cause; and the number of deaths that occurred in frequencies fewer than 5 per cause were grouped together as "OTHER CAUSES." Specific causes could not be determined for those deaths in "OTHER CAUSES" because the data are suppressed on OK2SHARE (the source of this data) when there are fewer than 5 deaths per search category.

Data source: Vital Statistics, Health Care Information Division, Oklahoma State Department of Health
Produced by: Community Epidemiology and Evaluation, Oklahoma State Department of Health


Nutrition and Obesity

Poor diet is a primary cause of adult deaths in the U.S.¹¹ Poor diet can be characterized in many different ways, but a common proxy measure of poor diet is assessing fruit and vegetable consumption. A recent study determined that fruit and vegetable consumption is associated with reduced risk of death.¹² Oklahoma has typically ranked as one of the worst states for fruit and vegetable consumption among adults.


In 2009, the last year data were available for every state, Oklahoma ranked last in consuming 5 or more daily servings of fruits and vegetables.¹³ In Tulsa County, 16.4% of adults consumed the recommended servings of fruits and vegetables daily.⁶

Obesity is also a primary cause of adult deaths.¹¹ Obesity is defined as having a BMI greater than 30.0 kg/m² (BMI = weight in kg/square of height in m). In addition to its association with mortality, obesity increases our risk of several chronic diseases such as heart disease and type 2 diabetes.¹⁴ Obesity rates have skyrocketed in Oklahoma, with self-reported adult obesity prevalence at 32.2% in 2012⁶ and self-reported obesity prevalence at 11.8% among high school students in 2013.¹⁵ Data from 2005-2010 estimate the rate of adult obesity to be 27.2% in Tulsa County (10.5% higher than the rate reported in the previous County Health Report⁹). Medical costs for obese individuals were estimated to be \$2741 higher than per capita spending for normal weight individuals in 2005, and this economic burden can be expected to increase as the cost of health care increases.¹⁶

Percent of Adults Who Consume 5 or More Servings of Fruits and Vegetables by County, BRFSS 2005, 2007, 2009⁶




Percent of Obese Adults by County, BRFSS 2005-2010⁶



Physical Activity and Fitness

Physical inactivity was reported to be a leading contributor to almost 1 in 10 adult deaths in the U.S.¹⁴ Close to 23 % of U.S. adults do not engage in any physical activity.¹³ Adults who engage each week in 150 minutes of moderate to vigorous intensity aerobic activity in bouts of at least 10 minutes experience improved health and fitness and reduced risk of several chronic diseases.¹⁷ While 30.4% of all Oklahoma adults from 2005-2010 were not engaging in any physical activity, the rate was lower in Tulsa County, at 27.8%.⁶ This rate is 3.7% higher than the county rate reported in the previous County Health Report.⁹

Percent of Physically Inactive Adults by County, BRFSS 2005-2010⁶




Youth who are regularly active have a better chance of having a healthy adulthood. Children and adolescents should get at least 60 minutes of moderate intensity physical activity most days of the week, preferably every day, and three of those days should include vigorous intensity aerobic activity.¹⁸ Statewide, 56.6% of high school students were physically active most days of the week in 2013.¹⁵

Diabetes

Type II Diabetes Mellitus is a chronic disease characterized by high levels of sugar (i.e., glucose) in the bloodstream due to the body's resistance to insulin. If left untreated, serious complications can arise, including heart disease, renal failure, retinopathy, and neuropathies. Several risk factors may increase the likelihood of developing diabetes. Some of these risk factors cannot be changed (eg., aged 45 years and older, family history). Other risk factors relate to our behaviors, such as prediabetes, overweight/obesity, being physically inactive, and having high blood pressure.¹⁹

The prevalence of diabetes has been on the rise in Oklahoma. Slightly more than 10% of Oklahoma adults from 2005-2010 had been told by a health professional that they had diabetes.⁶ During this same time frame in Tulsa County, 9.3% of adults had diabetes,⁶ which is a little more than the 8.7% of adults cited in the previous County Health Report.⁹

The American Diabetes Association released a report estimating the total cost of diagnosed diabetes to be \$245 billion in the U.S. in 2012.²⁰ This amount includes both direct medical costs and reduced productivity. They estimated the largest component of direct medical costs to be hospital inpatient care. In Tulsa County, there were 1,224 hospital discharges attributable to diabetes in 2010, the most recent year that hospital data is available.¹⁰ This amounted to \$27,120,464.00 in total charges in 2010 alone, or 1.5% of total hospitalization charges in the county.¹⁰




Teen Births

Although births to teen mothers have been declining in recent years,²¹ Oklahoma still has one of the highest teen birth rates in the country,²² including a high rate of repeat births.²³ Pregnant teens are more likely than older pregnant females to experience medical complications, have low educational attainment, and engage in unhealthy behaviors that put their unborn child at risk.²⁴ Children of teen mothers are more likely than children of older mothers to display poor health and social outcomes, such as premature birth, low birth weight, behavioral problems, and abuse and neglect.²² Additionally, infant mortality rates are highest for babies of teen mothers.²⁵

From 2008-2012, Tulsa County had a teen birth rate of 51.2 births per 1,000 female population aged 15-19 years, which is similar to the state rate of 52.2 births per 1,000 female population aged 15-19 years.²⁶ The county rate is 10.0% lower than the rate reported in the previous County Health Report.⁹

Recent estimates place the cost of teen childbearing in Oklahoma at \$190 million in 2008, and this includes only health care and other costs associated with the children, not the mothers.²⁷



Infant Mortality


The infant mortality rate (IMR) is an important indicator of the health of a nation, and is also a reflection of maternal health, accessibility and quality of primary health care, and the availability of supportive services in the community.²⁸ The leading causes of infant death include congenital malformations (i.e., medical conditions present at birth), disorders related to short gestation (fewer than 37 weeks of pregnancy completed) and low birth weight (less than 5 lbs., 8 oz.), and Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS).²⁵ Oklahoma's IMR has declined 12.8% from its recent high of 8.6 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2006 to 7.5 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2012.⁸ However, the rate is still significantly higher than the national (preliminary) rate of 6.05 infant deaths per 1,000 live births in 2011.²⁹ While organizations across Oklahoma have been working together to reduce infant mortality as part of the Preparing for a Lifetime, It's Everyone's Responsibility initiative,³⁰ there is still much work to do.

Racial disparities exist in IMR, with rates among Oklahoma's Black/African American infants being more than double the rates of White and Asian/Pacific Island infants. The IMR for Black/African American infants declined between 2003-2007 and 2008-2012 (16.4 to 14.6, respectively),⁸ but is still extremely high.

From 2008-2012, the overall IMR for Tulsa County was 7.3 deaths per 1,000 live births.⁸ This rate is similar to the state rate of 7.5 deaths per 1,000 live births⁸ and 9% lower than the county rate from 2002-2006.⁹ The IMR in Tulsa County accounted for 25,725 years of potential life lost based on an average age of death in Oklahoma of 75 years.⁸

Receiving timely prenatal care is believed to reduce the risk of maternal and infant sickness and death as well as preterm delivery and low birth weight. From 2008-2012, 62.7% of women who had a live birth in Tulsa County accessed prenatal care during the first trimester of their pregnancy.²⁶

Infant Mortality Rate by County, OSDH Vital Statistics, 2008-2012⁸



Low Birth Weight


Low birth weight and preterm births together are the second leading cause of death among children less than 1 year of age.²⁵ Low birth weight infants are more at risk of health problems compared to infants born of normal weight, including infection, gastrointestinal problems, delayed motor and social development, and learning disabilities. Low birth weight infants may also be at higher risk of high blood pressure, diabetes, and heart disease later in life.³¹

The percentage of Oklahoma babies born at low birth weight (i.e., weighing fewer than 5 pounds and 8 ounces, or 2500 grams) was 8.3% across 2008-2012.²⁶ This rate is similar to the latest national data (8.2% from 2007-2011).³²

In Tulsa County, the rate of low birth weight births was 9.0% from 2008-2012,²⁶ which is 10% higher than the rate from 2003-2007.²⁶

As is seen with infant mortality, the percentage of low birth weight births is higher for Black/African American babies (14.1%) than babies of other races (White: 7.8%; American Indian: 7.3%; Asian/Pacific Island: 7.4%).²⁶

Percent of Low and Very Low Birth Weight Births by County, OSDH Vital Statistics, 2008-2012²⁶



Injury and Violence

Unintentional injury is the 4th leading cause of death in Oklahoma, and the leading cause of death among individuals aged 5-44 years.⁸ In 2010, the most recent year that data are publicly available, injuries accounted for almost \$1.4 billion of Oklahoma's hospital inpatient charges, or almost \$34,000 per discharge.¹⁰ This equates to more than 10% of total inpatient charges in 2010,¹⁰ and does not consider other related medical expenses or lost productivity.


In Tulsa County, unintentional injury is the 4th leading cause of death at 54.5 deaths per 100,000 population.⁸ The county rate is slightly higher than the rate of 50.6 which was reported in the previous County Health Report.⁹ The current rate is a little lower than the state rate of 58.7 deaths per 100,000 population.⁸

Motor-vehicle accidents account for 26% of Tulsa County's unintentional injury deaths per 100,000 population, resulting in an estimated cost of \$609.2 million in 2011.

This cost includes wage and productivity losses, medical expenses, administrative expenses, motor vehicle damage, and employers' uninsured costs (\$1.42 million per death).³³

Violence-related deaths (suicide and homicide) are also leading causes of death in Oklahoma.⁸ Tulsa County's homicide rate of 9.1 deaths per 100,000 population is 38% higher than the state rate of 6.6 deaths per 100,000 population, and the suicide rate of 18.6 deaths per 100,000 population is 12% higher than the state rate of 16.6 deaths per 100,000 population.⁸

Rate of Unintentional Injury Deaths by County, OSDH Vital Statistics, 2008-2012⁸




Tobacco Use Prevention

While smoking rates continue to decline in the United States, tobacco is still the leading contributor of preventable deaths in the United States, resulting in 80-90% of lung cancer deaths, 90% of deaths from chronic lower respiratory disease, and increasing risk of coronary heart disease and stroke deaths.³⁴ Oklahoma has consistently had one of the highest rates of adult smoking in the country, with an estimated 23.3% of Oklahoma adults being smokers in 2012.⁶ While this rate is higher than the national rate of 19.6%,¹³ it represents a significant decline from Oklahoma's 2011 rate of 26.1%.⁶ Total cigarette sales have remained stable the last three years (at about 71 packs per capita, each year from 2010 through 2012),³⁵ but have declined from 86.7 packs per capita in 2008 that was reported in the previous County Health Report.⁹

Across 2005-2010 in Tulsa County, 23.7% of adults were smokers.⁶ This is similar to the percentage of adult smokers reported in the previous County Health Report⁹ and is 5% less than the state rate of 25.0% across the same time period. Health care costs associated with smoking were approximately \$1,927.8 million in Tulsa County.³⁶

Of concern are other types of tobacco use, such as smokeless tobacco and now e-cigarettes. Almost 7% of Oklahoma adults use smokeless tobacco products (6.9% in 2011 and 6.7% in 2012), with almost 70% of smokeless tobacco users also being smokers. Data is still being gathered about e-cigarettes, but their usage has increased among adults as well as middle and high school students nationally.^{37,38}

Percent of Adult Smokers by County, BRFSS 2005-2010⁶



Healthy People 2020 Table

Healthy People 2020 Indicators ¹	Comparison Data: Year(s)					2020 target ¹	
	Tulsa County ²		Oklahoma ²		United States ¹		
Prevalence of obesity (Aged 20+)		N/A†		N/A†	2009-2010	35.7%	30.5%
No leisure-time physical activity (Aged 18+)		N/A†		N/A†	2011	31.6%	32.6%
Prevalence of smoking (Aged 18+)		N/A†		N/A†	2011	19.0%	12.0%
Infant mortality (Per 1,000 of births)	2008-2012	7.3	2009	7.9	2009	6.4	6.0
Low birth weight infants (Percent of live births)	2008-2012	9.0%	2010	8.4%	2010	8.1%	7.8%
Very low birth weight infants (Percent of live births)	2008-2012	1.6%	2010	1.4%	2010	1.4%	1.4%
First trimester prenatal care (Percent of births)	2008-2012	62.7%	2007	76.3%	2007§	70.8%	77.9%
Prevalence of diabetes (Aged 18–84 years)		N/A†		N/A†	2009-2011	8.1%	7.2%
Lack of health insurance (Aged <65 years)		N/A†		N/A†	2011	17.0%	0%
Prevalence of binge drinking (Aged 18+)		N/A†		N/A†	2011	26.7%	24.4%
Coronary heart disease deaths (per 100,000 population)*	2008-2012	222.6	2010	234.1	2010	113.6	100.8
Cancer deaths (per 100,000 population)*	2008-2012	183.9	2010	190.4	2010	172.8	160.6
Unintentional injury deaths (per 100,000 population)*	2008-2012	54.5	2010	58.8	2010	38.0	36.0
Transportation-related deaths (per 100,000 population)*	2008-2012	14.3	2010	19.8	2010	10.7	12.4

Notes:

*Death rate is age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. standard population;

†Data are not available in the state or county because data are collected using a different methodology and thus are not comparable to the national rates and targets established by Healthy People 2020.

§The most recent data available from CDC WONDER Natality Data shows that 73.7%³ of women having live births in 2011 received prenatal care within the first three months of pregnancy. Not all states collect prenatal care information on the birth certificate.


References:

- [1] U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy people 2020 – Topics and Objectives. Washington, DC. Available at <http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topicsobjectives2020/default.aspx>: Data for United States and 2020 Target
- [2] OSDH, OK2SHARE, Vital Statistics: Data for Oklahoma and Oklahoma Counties.
- [3] United States Department of Health and Human Services (US DHHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Division of Vital Statistics, Natality public-use data 2007-2011, on CDC WONDER Online Database, November 2013. Accessed at <http://wonder.cdc.gov/natality-current.html>

County Health Department Usage

Oklahoma currently has 68 county health departments and two independent city-county health departments serving 77 counties. Each department offers a variety of services, such as immunizations, family planning, maternity education, well-baby clinics, adolescent health clinics, hearing & speech services, child developmental services, environmental health, and the SoonerStart program. Additionally, many county health departments participate in health education and community development services throughout their county. All county health departments in Oklahoma utilize the Public Health Oklahoma Client Information System (PHOCIS) to track an overview of the services provided to each citizen. In addition, PHOCIS contains a population-based module (POPS) that houses information about community-based events in which health department employees are involved. The information on this page is an accounting of services provided within the county health department and throughout the county.

County Health Department Unduplicated Clients, and Visits by Program, Tulsa County, State Fiscal Year 2013




Population-Based Services by Event Type, Tulsa County, SFY13

	Number of Events	Total Attendees
Consultation	316	1090
Group Screening	1	30
Meeting/Taskforce/Coalition	1	10
Multi-Session Education Group	45	337
Outreach	5	875
Presentation/Class	185	1528
Surveys/Assessment	2	27
Grand Total	555	3897

Population-Based Services by Main Topic, Tulsa County, SFY13

Topic	Number of Events	Total Attendees
Assessments	2	4
Discipline/Behavior Management	86	723
Family Relationships	25	156
General Health Department Services	5	875
Human Relationships	4	120
Infant & Early Childhood Consultation	257	877
Oral Health	1	15
Parenting Skills	34	206
Social Emotional Skills	210	1613
Terrorism/Emergency Preparedness	1	75
Grand Total	625	4664

OSDH Board of Health Map



Board of Health Members

- Charles W. Grim, D.D.S.
- Cris Hart Wolfe
- Jenny Alexopoulos, D.O.
- R. Murali Krishna, M.D. (President)
- Robert Scott Stewart, M.D.
- Ronald Woodson, M.D. (Vice-President)
- Terry R. Gerard II, D.O.
- Timothy E. Starkey, M.B.A.
- Martha A. Burger, M.B.A. (State at Large; Secretary-Treasurer)

Created: 12.09.2013
Source: Oklahoma State Department of Health

Oklahoma Health Improvement Plan



For the complete OHIP, including a full list of partners, visit www.ok.gov/health and click the "Oklahoma Health Improvement Plan" link.



[STRATEGIC PLANNING]

FLAGSHIP GOALS

- Tobacco Use Prevention
- Obesity Reduction
- Children's Health

INFRASTRUCTURE GOALS

- Public Health Finance
- Workforce Development
- Access to Care
- Health Systems Effectiveness

SOCIETAL & POLICY INTEGRATION

- Policies and Legislation
- Social Determinants of Health & Health Equity

OKLAHOMA HEALTH IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Reference List

1. Preamble to the Constitution of the World Health Organization as adopted by the International Health Conference, New York, 19-22 Jun, 1946; signed on 22 Jul 1946 by the representatives of 61 States (Official Records of the World Health Organization, no. 2, p. 100) and entered into force on 7 Apr 1948.
2. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Healthy people 2020 - Determinants of Health. Washington, DC. Available at <http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/about/DOHAbout.aspx>. Accessed on Nov 18, 2013.
3. OSDH, Board of Health and OHIP Planning Team (2010). Oklahoma Health Improvement Plan. Available at <http://www.ok.gov/health2/documents/OHIP-viewing.pdf>
4. U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates, Accessed Nov 7, 2013 from www.census.gov.
5. U.S. Census Bureau, 2008-2012 American Community Survey, Accessed Jan 13, 2014 from www.census.gov.
6. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Health Care Information, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS).
7. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Local Area Unemployment Statistics. www.bls.gov/LAU
8. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Health Care Information, OK2SHARE, Death Statistics – Final. www.health.ok.gov/ok2share.
9. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Community Health, Community Epidemiology, 2010 County Health Report. <http://www.ok.gov/health>.
10. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Health Care Information, OK2SHARE, Inpatient Discharge Statistics. www.health.ok.gov/ok2share.
11. Mokdad, A. H., Marks, J. S., Stroup, D. F., Gerberding, J. L., 2004. Actual Causes of Death in the United States, 2000. *JAMA*. 291(10):1238-1245.
12. Leenders, M. et.al. (2013). Fruit and vegetable consumption and mortality – European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 178 (4): 590-602.
13. United States Department of Health and Human Services (US DHHS), Centers of Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Office of Surveillance, Epidemiology, and Laboratory Services, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), Accessed Dec 6, 2013, <http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/>
14. Danaei, G., Ding, E. L., Mozaffarian, D., Taylor, B., Rehm, J., Murray, C. J., Ezzati, M., 2009. The preventable causes of death in the United States: comparative risk assessment of dietary, lifestyle, and metabolic risk factors. *PLoS Med*. 6(4): e1000058.
15. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Health Care Information, OK2SHARE, Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS), www.health.ok.gov/ok2share.
16. Cawley, J., Meyerhoefer, C., 2012. The medical care costs of obesity: An instrumental variables approach. *Journal of Health Economics*. 31 (1): 219.
17. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Division of Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Obesity, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Physical Activity. Physical Activity for Everyone. How Much Physical Activity do Adults Need. Accessed on Nov 19, 2013. <http://www.cdc.gov/physicalactivity/everyone/guidelines/adults.html>
18. U.S. Department of Health and Health Services. Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. Active Children and Adolescents. www.hhs.gov
19. American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Basics. Accessed on Dec 3, 2013. <http://www.diabetes.org>
20. American Diabetes Association. 2013. Economic costs of diabetes in the U.S. in 2012. *Diabetes Care*. Available at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/early/2013/03/05/dc12-2625.full.pdf+html>.
21. Ventura, S. J. and Hamilton, B. E. 2011. U.S. teenage birth rate resumes decline. NCHS Data Brief, no. 58. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.
22. Ventura, S. J., Hamilton, B. E., and Mathews, T.J., 2013. Pregnancy and Childbirth among Females Aged 10-19 Years – United States, 2007-2010. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Supplement* 62(03):71-76.
23. Gavin, L., Warner, L., Elizabeth O'Neil, M., Duong, L. M., Marshall, C., Hastings, P. A., Harrison, A. T., Barfield, W., 2013. Vital Signs: Repeat Births among Teens – United States, 2007-2010. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*. 62(13); 249-255.
24. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Teen Pregnancy. Accessed Nov 20, 2013 at <http://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/resources/publications/aag/teen-preg.htm>
25. Mathews, T. J. and MacDorman, M. F. 2011. Infant mortality statistics from the 2007 period linked birth/infant death data set. *National Vital Statistics Reports*. 59(6).
26. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Health Care Information, OK2SHARE, Birth Statistics,—Final. www.health.ok.gov/ok2share.
27. The National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy, Counting It Up: The Public Costs of Teen Childbearing in Oklahoma in 2008, Jun 2011.
28. MacDorman, M. F. and Mathews, T. J. 2008. Recent trends in infant mortality in the United States. NCHS Data Brief. No. 9. October 2008. Available at <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db09.pdf>.
29. MacDorman, M. F., Hoyert, D. L., and Mathews, T. J., 2013. Recent declines in infant mortality in the United States, 2005-2011. NCHS Data Brief. No. 120. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.
30. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Child and Family Health, Improving Infant Outcomes, http://www.ok.gov/health/Child_and_Family_Health/Improving_Infant_Outcomes/index.html
31. March of Dimes. Low birthweight. Last reviewed Sept 2012. Accessed Dec 3, 2013. <http://www.marchofdimes.com/baby/low-birthweight.aspx>.
32. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, Division of Vital Statistics, Natality public-use data 2007-2011, on CDC WONDER Online Database. Accessed Dec 3, 2013
33. National Safety Council. Estimating the Costs of Unintentional Injuries, 2011. Accessed Dec 4, 2013 at http://www.nsc.org/nsc_library/Documents/Estimating%20the%20Cost%20of%20Unintentional%20Injuries,%202011.pdf.
34. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, The health consequences of smoking: a report of the Surgeon General. [Atlanta, Ga.]: Washington, D.C.: For sale by the Supt. of Docs., U.S. G.P.O., 2004.
35. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Tobacco Use Prevention Service.
36. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Annual smoking-attributable mortality, years of potential life lost, and economic costs—United States, 1995–1999. *MMWR* 2002;51(14):300–303.
37. King, B. A., Alam, S., Promoff, G., Arrazola, R. and Dube, S. R., 2013. Awareness and ever-use of electronic cigarettes among U.S. adults, 2010-2011. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*. 15(9); 1623-1627.
38. Corey, C. et al., 2013. Notes from the Field: Electronic Cigarette Use Among Middle and High School Students — United States, 2011–2012. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*. 62(35); 729-730.



**OKLAHOMA STATE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH**

Community and Family Health Services
Community Development Service
1000 NE 10th St, Room 508
Oklahoma City, OK 73117
Phone: 405-271-6127
Fax: 405-271-1225
Email: Miriamm@health.ok.gov

Report compiled by:

Jennifer Han, Ph.D., CHES
Community Assessment and Evaluation Specialist
Miriam McGaugh, PhD
Senior Epidemiologist
Arjina Shrestha
Administrative Assistant (Seasonal)
Angela Watkins, MBA, MPH
Program Assessment and Evaluation Specialist

Health on the Horizon

**Tulsa County Health Department
5051 S. 129th East Avenue
Tulsa, OK 74134
918-595-4400
www.ok.gov/health**

The Oklahoma Turning Point Initiative is public health improvement in action. The success of the Turning Point process involves a partnership between the state and county departments of health, local communities, and policy-makers. The Oklahoma Turning Point engine is fueled by a community-based decision making process whereby local communities tap into the capacities, strengths, and vision of their citizens to create and promote positive, sustainable changes in the public health system, and the public’s health.

We are at a cross roads in our state and in Tulsa County. Please come and be part of the solutions that will lead Oklahoma and Tulsa County to becoming a healthy place to live, work and learn.

If you are interested in learning more about Turning Point or becoming involved in local activities, please contact:

Fauzia Khan, MBBS, MPH
Tulsa County
(918) 260-8110
Email: FauziaK@health.ok.gov
Website: www.okturningpoint.org

Family Health Coalition

Partnership Priorities

1. Nutrition & Physical Activity
2. Perinatal Care and Women’s health
3. Family Health including Fatherhood issues
4. Social Marketing/Media Advocacy
5. Complete Streets
6. Tobacco Use Prevention

2013 Outcomes/Impacts

- Complete Streets Resolutions passed in Tulsa, Sand Springs, Collinsville
- Local Food Systems assessment completed
- Side Walk Stories Video Project completed
- R&G grocers mobile truck launched
- Community Health Improvement Plan
- Pedestrian Safety Plan (City of Tulsa)
- Healthy Congregation wellness policy passed in Mt. Zion Baptist Church
- Nutrition policy passed at Explorer pipelines
- Vending machine policy passed at Parks and Recreation, City of Tulsa
- Jenks School District – Healthy School Incentive Grant
- Healthy Communities Incentive Grants – Sand Springs, Collinsville, Jenks
- Restore Local Rights Resolutions passed

Supplement Table 1: Total Mortality Rate and Adult Prevalence of Sufficient Fruit and Vegetable Consumption (5 or More Daily Servings), Obesity, Physical Inactivity, and Diabetes by County.

County	Total Mortality ¹ (deaths/100,000)	Fruit & Vegetable Consumption ² (percent)	Obesity ³ (percent)	Physical Inactivity ³ (percent)	Diabetes ³ (percent)
Adair	1,014.6	7.2	35.4	30.9	15.6
Alfalfa	863.2	-	31.9*	31.9*	15.3
Atoka	875.7	9.0	34.5	28.5	16.8
Beaver	797.2	9.7	29.5*	31.1*	11.7
Beckham	1,030.3	17.0	32.5	31.3	10.8
Blaine	934.0	14.2*	31.5	36.3	9.9
Bryan	897.0	16.0	30.4	36.2	8.2
Caddo	1,033.5	13.3	29.1	28.9	11.9
Canadian	805.6	15.7	26.4	27.0	9.9
Carter	1,096.9	16.8	30.6	34.0	10.2
Cherokee	944.5	13.6	31.1	34.7	11.5
Choctaw	1,104.7	29.8*	30.0	30.8	9.0
Cimarron	805.0	-	26.2*	35.0*	7.8
Cleveland	787.6	16.1	26.5	24.0	7.8
Coal	1,091.1	-	33.6*	24.6*	10.1
Comanche	915.7	15.6	31.4	31.4	9.3
Cotton	1,035.1	-	37.9*	29.1*	9.8
Craig	1,061.2	10.1	36.8	31.6	13.8
Creek	979.5	12.2	32.3	29.8	9.5
Custer	940.2	18.9	29.8	26.3	9.4
Delaware	900.6	11.8	30.6	35.5	15.0
Dewey	1,026.0	-	29.1*	40.6*	11.1
Ellis	873.0	-	36.8*	31.3*	10.8
Garfield	897.7	12.5	33.7	27.9	8.9
Garvin	1,097.9	12.3	29.8	31.4	12.3
Grady	921.4	13.4	34.5	25.4	6.3
Grant	873.2	-	24.2	19.6	6.4
Greer	923.4	-	34.9*	45.7*	12.5

Supplement Table 1 continued: Total Mortality Rate and Adult Prevalence of Sufficient Fruit and Vegetable Consumption (5 or More Daily Servings), Obesity, Physical Inactivity, and Diabetes by County.

County	Total Mortality ¹ (deaths/100,000)	Fruit & Vegetable Consumption ² (percent)	Obesity ³ (percent)	Physical Inactivity ³ (percent)	Diabetes ³ (percent)
Harmon	913.8	-	-	-	20.2*
Harper	954.3	-	-	38.9*	17.4*
Haskell	960.0	15.3	31.1*	36.4	6.9
Hughes	1,066.9	12.1	21.2	26.3	12.7
Jackson	935.3	17.2	31.7	28.7	12.5
Jefferson	1,084.8	-	39.3*	37.6*	9.4
Johnston	1,105.3	19.6*	24.7	33.6*	13.7
Kay	932.2	13.9	31.3	27.9	14.2
Kingfisher	835.1	21.0	30.5	29.6	11.0
Kiowa	1,173.2	17.5*	31.1	32.2*	12.5
Latimer	856.8	9.3	42.2*	41.6*	13.1
Le Flore	1,054.9	11.4	31.0	36.7	14.2
Lincoln	915.3	15.0	28.0	40.3	10.9
Logan	776.5	12.1	32.7	30.3	11.7
Love	934.7	17.9*	25.6	39.1*	18.0
Major	911.8	14.8	26.9*	28.2	6.8
Marshall	1,041.8	10.1	33.8*	30.1	13.9
Mayer	1,033.6	18.1	36.9	35.3	12.7
McClain	863.9	22.6*	34.8	26.3	7.5
McCurtain	870.9	6.9	33.4	33.8	10.5
McIntosh	992.7	14.1	37.4	38.3	8.8
Murray	1,042.2	9.4	32.1*	24.6	10.8
Muskogee	1,072.6	14.5	29.6	36.2	12.1
Noble	853.1	8.0	39.1*	34.7*	11.6
Nowata	910.7	20.4	33.1	29.2	10.0
Okfuskee	1,109.8	-	31.7	44.7*	15.9
Oklahoma	900.5	16.7	28.4	30.4	9.3
Okmulgee	1,030.3	11.9	33.7	36.6	13.1

Supplement Table 1 continued: Total Mortality Rate and Adult Prevalence of Sufficient Fruit and Vegetable Consumption (5 or More Daily Servings), Obesity, Physical Inactivity, and Diabetes by County.

County	Total Mortality ¹ (deaths/100,000)	Fruit & Vegetable Consumption ² (percent)	Obesity ³ (percent)	Physical Inactivity ³ (percent)	Diabetes ³ (percent)
Osage	830.0	10.6	32.8	35.3	11.2
Ottawa	1,082.7	16.7	32.2	40.9	13.7
Pawnee	1,058.3	11.7	32.3	35.8	14.9
Payne	808.1	14.8	27.4	23.9	9.1
Pittsburg	988.6	16.7	30.2	32.9	11.6
Pontotoc	1,018.0	11.6	35.0	33.5	8.5
Pottawatomie	988.8	18.5	34.2	31.1	9.6
Pushmataha	1,009.9	11.0	25.2	32.4	13.6
Roger Mills	730.2	20.7*	35.5*	39.2*	12.1
Rogers	811.7	15.1	29.4	28.4	9.7
Seminole	1,061.7	12.9	37.7	32.1	9.3
Sequoyah	1,010.3	18.7	32.9	37.7	12.1
Stephens	977.4	16.1	27.6	32.8	10.8
Texas	791.6	16.6	27.5	29.7	4.0
Tillman	935.4	21.2*	34.5*	31.6*	17.1
Tulsa	881.8	16.4	27.2	27.8	9.3
Wagoner	824.3	15.3	31.2	30.9	12.1
Washington	826.5	21.6	26.7	28.1	8.7
Washita	905.5	23.6*	24.5	27.1	7.3
Woods	897.6	20.9*	21.7	32.6	7.0
Woodward	946.4	16.8	32.5	31.6	11.8
Oklahoma State	914.5	15.5	29.7	30.4	10.1

*Rate is unstable due to the large measurement error associated with the estimate.

Data Sources:

1. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Health Care Information, OK2SHARE, Death Statistics – Final: 2008-2012. www.health.ok.gov/ok2share.
2. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Health Care Information, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS): 2005, 2007, 2009.
3. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Health Care Information, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS): 2005-2010.

Supplement Table 2: Teen Birth Rate, Infant Mortality Rate, Prevalence of Low Birth Weight (Births Weighing < 5 lb., 8 oz.), Unintentional Injury Mortality, and Prevalence of Adult Smokers by County.

County	Teen Births ¹ (births/1,000 females 15-19 yrs)	Infant Mortality ² (deaths/1,000 live births)	Low Birth Weight ¹ (percent)	Unintentional Injury Mortality ² (deaths/100,000)	Adult Smokers ³ (percent)
Adair	66.6	12.6	8.3	70.1	29.8
Alfalfa	24.8	18.2	7.6	89.0	25.5*
Atoka	65.8	-	7.4	70.7	23.4
Beaver	45.0	-	7.7	76.8	27.8*
Beckham	98.9	10.4	9.8	68.8	31.2
Blaine	68.5	14.2	9.2	76.0	23.7
Bryan	62.1	5.3	7.6	66.1	29.1
Caddo	74.1	9.0	7.4	91.5	26.8
Canadian	32.2	5.4	7.9	46.5	22.0
Carter	74.3	5.5	9.4	89.3	24.4
Cherokee	48.1	7.2	8.6	56.0	29.7
Choctaw	96.8	10.2	8.6	73.9	28.7
Cimarron	68.5	-	8.4	45.9	25.4*
Cleveland	22.8	4.9	7.2	43.8	20.4
Coal	69.8	-	8.0	102.4	22.5*
Comanche	51.5	9.8	8.4	42.0	31.0
Cotton	60.8	-	7.0	77.7	20.1*
Craig	68.5	10.0	7.5	81.3	23.9
Creek	52.5	8.9	8.7	66.3	29.4
Custer	51.2	7.3	7.5	57.5	18.9
Delaware	58.7	6.5	7.7	69.5	24.7
Dewey	56.1	-	6.4	136.6	22.0*
Ellis	41.1	-	4.5	92.0	18.4*
Garfield	65.1	8.1	7.8	57.5	23.3
Garvin	63.6	7.6	9.2	98.8	25.5
Grady	44.8	5.8	8.2	74.0	25.9
Grant	28.5	-	9.7	72.6	20.0*
Greer	80.1	-	9.2	58.1	28.9*

Supplement Table 2 continued: Teen Birth Rate, Infant Mortality Rate, Prevalence of Low Birth Weight (Births Weighing < 5 lb., 8 oz.), Unintentional Injury Mortality, and Prevalence of Adult Smokers by County.

County	Teen Births ¹ (births/1,000 females 15-19 yrs)	Infant Mortality ² (deaths/1,000 live births)	Low Birth Weight ¹ (percent)	Unintentional Injury Mortality ² (deaths/100,000)	Adult Smokers ³ (percent)
Harmon	79.6	-	5.3	48.0	10.3*
Harper	40.0	-	6.5	96.6	16.8*
Haskell	62.4	9.4	9.8	77.2	19.7
Hughes	61.6	8.0	7.5	77.4	36.6*
Jackson	72.7	8.1	9.7	53.8	25.4
Jefferson	54.1	15.5	9.3	105.1	24.8*
Johnston	61.3	9.7	9.1	79.3	24.3*
Kay	75.1	7.2	8.0	67.6	24.3
Kingfisher	46.4	-	5.7	54.0	18.0
Kiowa	58.1	12.7	7.5	97.4	26.9*
Latimer	38.9	-	9.0	75.0	21.5
Le Flore	70.4	5.7	7.4	71.8	26.0
Lincoln	42.5	7.1	7.7	71.3	27.6
Logan	24.6	6.7	7.7	50.8	23.4
Love	66.3	-	7.6	72.2	35.5*
Major	50.9	19.5	8.4	60.4	11.4
Marshall	72.5	6.0	6.7	59.7	24.1*
Mayer	60.8	7.2	7.4	75.2	30.1
McClain	40.3	10.8	8.3	58.7	18.3
McCurtain	78.7	9.6	7.6	84.4	23.5
McIntosh	62.2	11.4	8.3	77.8	29.2
Murray	66.4	9.7	8.8	83.7	24.9
Muskogee	65.3	7.5	8.5	64.8	32.0
Noble	48.5	9.7	6.8	42.1	28.0*
Nowata	46.8	10.1	8.0	65.4	29.2
Okfuskee	64.3	7.0	7.8	80.2	31.9*
Oklahoma	60.2	7.9	8.9	49.8	24.1
Okmulgee	70.8	8.5	8.2	72.0	27.7

Supplement Table 2 continued: Teen Birth Rate, Infant Mortality Rate, Prevalence of Low Birth Weight (Births Weighing < 5 lb., 8 oz.), Unintentional Injury Mortality, and Prevalence of Adult Smokers by County.

County	Teen Births ¹ (births/1,000 females 15-19 yrs)	Infant Mortality ² (deaths/1,000 live births)	Low Birth Weight ¹ (percent)	Unintentional Injury Mortality ² (deaths/100,000)	Adult Smokers ³ (percent)
Osage	39.3	7.3	8.8	57.4	27.2
Ottawa	67.8	9.9	8.1	74.3	32.2
Pawnee	50.5	7.1	7.0	128.0	27.2
Payne	20.7	5.0	5.9	50.8	18.2
Pittsburg	68.4	8.6	9.3	66.5	29.2
Pontotoc	52.2	7.6	7.2	82.3	27.1
Pottawatomie	55.1	9.0	7.5	66.6	30.0
Pushmataha	69.1	10.4	9.6	77.8	39.4
Roger Mills	66.2	-	4.7	93.4	17.7*
Rogers	32.9	7.1	8.1	47.2	24.8
Seminole	62.0	7.5	7.4	80.8	28.3
Sequoyah	66.2	5.5	7.5	62.4	30.7
Stephens	56.2	9.0	8.5	74.5	20.0
Texas	80.1	7.3	6.4	67.4	18.4
Tillman	62.0	-	6.4	67.7	25.4*
Tulsa	51.2	7.3	9.0	54.5	23.7
Wagoner	33.4	5.6	7.3	56.1	27.3
Washington	49.8	6.1	7.2	52.1	23.0
Washita	56.6	9.9	8.8	55.5	28.2*
Woods	43.2	-	8.8	79.8	16.2
Woodward	84.3	7.8	7.9	80.8	26.9
Oklahoma State	52.2	7.5	8.3	58.7	25.0

*Rate is unstable due to the large measurement error associated with the estimate.

Data Sources:

1. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Health Care Information, OK2SHARE, Birth Statistics,—Final: 2008-2012. www.health.ok.gov/ok2share.
2. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Health Care Information, OK2SHARE, Death Statistics – Final: 2008-2012. www.health.ok.gov/ok2share.
3. Oklahoma State Department of Health, Health Care Information, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS): 2005-2010.